

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/738,473	12/17/2003	Donald K. Jones	CRD5061	8194	
27777	7590 06/14/2005		EXAMINER		
PHILIP S. JOHNSON			AHMED, AAMER S		
JOHNSON & ONE JOHNS	JOHNSON ON & JOHNSON PLAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW BRUNS	SWICK, NJ 08933-7003		3763		

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•	SW
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	'
		10/738,473	JONES ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
٠		Aamer S. Ahmed	3763	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence addres	s
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' e, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 D	December 2003.		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the me	rits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under \boldsymbol{t}	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	I.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	52. ·
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority	ts have been received. ts have been received in A	pplication No	
	application from the International Burea			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received.	
Attachmen	t(e)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Intensious S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/6/2004</u> .	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152 $-$)

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 5,14, 19, 21, 23 and 24 are under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Currie et al ('454). Currie describes a support member; a bioactive agent disposed on the support member; and an outer barrier coating disposed on the bioactive agent, the outer barrier coating being non-water soluble but dissolving when an external agent is applied to the outer coating and exposing the bioactive agent when in the presence of a biological agent. Moreover Currie describes that the bioactive agent takes the form of a coating applied to the support member and is integral with the support member. (See Figure 3 and Columns 8 and 9).

Thus Currie reasonably appears to teach and disclose every element of claims 1, 4, 5, 14, 19, 21, 23 and 24.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/738,473

Art Unit: 3763

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2, 3, 6,7,10-13, 20, 22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Currie et al ('454) in view of Eder ('550). Currie describes an implantable medical device comprising a support member, a bioactive agent disposed on said support member; and, an outer barrier disposed on said bioactive agent to prevent exposure of said bioactive agent to bodily fluid when said vascular occlusive device is inserted into a blood vessel, said outer barrier exhibiting the characteristic of being substantially inert to bodily but dissolving when exposed to an external agent. (See Figure 3 and Columns 8 and 9).

Currie fails to disclose, that the support member is a vascular embolic device taking the form of a helically wound metallic coil, that the bioactive agent is comprised of a synthetic polypeptide, that the bioactive agent takes the form of a thrombus inducing coating.

As to Claims 2, 20, 22 and 25, Eder discloses a vascular occlusive device wherein the support member is a vascular occlusive embolic coil. (See Figure 1).

As to Claim 3, Eder teaches that the support member takes the form of a helically wound metallic coil. (See Figure 1).

As to Claim 6 and 7 Eder teaches that the outer barrier takes the form of a coating applied to the bioactive agent. (See Figure 2).

As to Claim 10, 11, 12 and 13, Eder teaches that the bioactive agent takes the form of a thrombus inducing coating. (See Column 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant to modify the implanted device of Currie by adding the structural coil components and as taught by Eder in order to obtain a more controllable vascular occlusive device.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Currie et al ('454) and Eder ('550) in view of Abrams et al (US2002/0058640). Neither Currie nor Eder disclose that the bioactive material is comprised of polyglycolic acid (See Paragraph 24), and the composition of the outer barrier as comprising of ethylene vinyl alcohol, (See Paragraph 8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant to modify the implanted device of Currie as modified by Eder by comprising the bioactive material of polyglycolic acid and an outside layer of ethylene vinyl alcohol as taught by Abrams, in order to achieve a more bioactive vascular occlusive device

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Currie et al ('454) and Eder ('550) in view of Wallace ('269). Neither Currie nor Eder discloses that the external agent is comprised of dimethyl sulfoxide.

Art Unit: 3763

Wallace et al ('269) does teach that the external agent can be dimethyl sulfoxide. (See Column 4)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant to modify the implanted device of Currie as modified by Eder by adding activation be an external agent of dimethyl sulfoxide, as taught by Wallace in order to obtain a more controllable vascular occlusive device.

Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sheppard et al ('429) in view of Engelson ('754). Sheppard describes a support member, a bioactive agent disposed on said support member and an outer barrier coating disposed on said bioactive agent, said outer barrier coating exhibiting the characteristic of being non-water soluble but dissolvable when an external activating agent is applied to said outer barrier and wherein the bioactive agent takes the form of a coating applied to the support member and is integral with support member. (See Column 5).

Sheppard fails to disclose neither that the support member is a vascular occlusive embolic coil nor that the bioactive agent takes the form of a thrombus inducing coating.

Engelson does disclose a support member that is a vascular occlusive embolic coil and that the bioactive agent takes the form of a thrombus inducing coating. (See Figure 6 and Column 7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant to modify the device of Sheppard by adding a support

Art Unit: 3763

member that is a vascular occlusive embolic coil and that the bioactive agent takes the form of a thrombus inducing coating as taught by Engelson, in order to obtain a more controllable vascular occlusive device.

Claims 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sheppard et al ('429) in view of Wallace et al ('914). Sheppard describes a support member, a bioactive agent disposed on said support member, and a barrier exhibiting the characteristic of normally preventing a reaction between the bioactive agent and a bodily fluid and of exposing a portion of said bioactive agent when an external agent is applied to said barrier. Sheppard fails to disclose a method of inserting a delivery catheter into a blood vessel; advancing the distal tip of the delivery catheter through the blood vessel until the distal tip is adjacent an aneurysm within the blood vessel; delivering said vascular occlusive device with the delivery catheter into an aneurysm.

Wallace does teach a method of inserting a delivery catheter into a blood vessel; advancing the distal tip of the delivery catheter through the blood vessel until the distal tip is adjacent an aneurysm within the blood vessel; delivering said vascular occlusive device with the delivery catheter into an aneurysm; (See Columns 8 and 9).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant to modify the device of Sheppard by adding the method of delivery as taught by Wallace in order to obtain a more controllable vascular occlusive device.

Application/Control Number: 10/738,473

Art Unit: 3763

Conclusion

Page 7

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U	S	Pat	No	618	7024	B1	
_	. • .		110.	\sim 1 \sim	1027		

Boock

U.S. Pat. No. 5551954 A

Buscemi

U.S. Pat. No. 5226911 A

Chee

U.S. Pat. No. 5304194 A

Chee

U.S. Pat. No. 20030004533 A1

Dieck

U.S. Pat. No. 5976162 A

Doan

U.S. Pat. No. 20020087184 A1

Eder

U.S. Pat. No. 6299627 B1

Eder

U.S. Pat. No. 6494884 B2

Gifford

U.S. Pat. No. 20020177855 A1

Greene

U.S. Pat. No. 5580568 A

Greff.

U.S. Pat. No. 20020151915 A1

Hieshima

U.S. Pub. No. 20040093014 A1

Но

U.S. Pat. No. 5525334 A

Ito

EP 1188413 A2

Jones

EP 1266631 A1

Jones

U.S. Pat. No. 20040236364 A1

Jones

U.S. Pub. No. 20030004531 A1

Jones ·

Application/Control Number: 10/738,473

Art Unit: 3763

U.S. Pub. No.20050049669 A1 Jones

U.S. Pat. No. 6554849 B1 Jones

U.S. Pat. No. 6833003 B2 Jones

U.S. Pat. No. 5853418 A Ken

U.S. Pat. No. 5669931 A Kupiecki

U.S. Pub. No.20020082620 A1 Lee

U.S. Pat. No. 5639277 A Mariant

U.S. Pat. No. 6187027 B1 Mariant

U.S. Pat. No. 6596296 B1 Nelson

U.S. Pub. No.20040047980 A1 Pacetti

U.S. Pub. No.20020193812 A1 Patel

U.S. Pat. No. 5382259 A Phelps

U.S. Pat. No. 6096070 A Ragheb

U.S. Pat. No. 6641832 B2 Sefton

U.S. Pat. No. 6773429 B2 Sheppard,

U.S.Pat. No. 6231590 B1 Slaikeu

U.S. Pat. No. 6663607 B2 Slaikeu

U.S. Pat. No. 5658308 A Snyder

U.S. Pub. No.20020004681 A1 Teoh.

U.S. Pat. No. 5935145 A Villar

U.S. Pat. No. 6287318 B1 Villar

U.S. Pub. No.20050065501 A1 Wallace

Application/Control Number: 10/738,473 Page 9

Art Unit: 3763

U.S. Pub. No.20020002382 A1 Wallace

U.S. Pub. No.20020128671 A1 Wallace

U.S. Pat. No. 6280457 B1 Wallace

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aamer S. Ahmed whose telephone number is 571-272-5965. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 10

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700